

References

1. Gronlund NE. Assessment of student Achievement. 8th Edition. Pearson, USA, Chapters 9 and 10. 2006.
2. Norcini JJ. Current perspectives in assessment: the assessment of performance at work. *Medical eEducation* 2005;39: 880-889.
3. Bloom B (ed.) Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, the classification of educational goals- Handbook I: Cognitive Domain NY, McKay. 1956.
4. Anderson L W, Krathwohl DR. (Eds.). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. Allyn & Bacon. Boston, MA (Pearson Education Group), 2001.
5. Dave RH. Psychomotor levels. In: RJ Armstrong et al. Developing and Writing Behavioural Objectives, Tucson, AZ: Educational Innovators Press, 1970.
6. Simpson E. The classification of educational objectives in the psychomotor domain: The psychomotor domain. Vol.3. Washington, DC: Cryphon House, 1972.
7. Harrow AJ. A taxonomy of psychomotor domain. NY: David McKay Co, 1972.
8. Borich GD. Effective teaching methods (3rd edition). Englewood cliffs, NJ, Merrill, 1996.
9. Romiszowski A. The Development of Physical Skills: Instruction in the Psychomotor Domain, Chapter 19, In: CM Reigeluth and Mahwah NJ, Eds. Instructional Design Theories and Models: A new Paradigm of Instructional Theory, Volume II, Laurence Erlbaum Associates, 1999.
10. Messick S. Validity. In: R Linn (ed.), Educational Measurement, (3rd ed.), Washington, DC: Oryx Press, 1989.
11. Shavelson RJ, Webb NM. A primer on generalizability theory. Thousand Oaks: Sag Publication, 1991.
12. Van der Vleuten CPM, Schuwirth LW. Assessing professional competence: From methods to programmes. *Medical Education*, 2005;39:309-317.
13. Amin Z, Seng C, Eng K. Practical Guide to Medical Student Assessment. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore. Chapter 12-21, 2006.
14. Honney E. Making effective use of continuous assessment and portfolio. The Robert Gordon University, Sutherland, 1997.

15. Frederickson K, Knox JDE. The real test item bias. *American Psychologist* 1984;39: 193-202.
16. Singh CKS, Lebar O, Kepol N, Abdul Rahman R, Mukhtar KA. An observation of classroom assessment practices among lectures in selected Malaysian higher learning institutions. *Malaysian J Learning Instruction* 2017;14(1):23-61.
17. Evans C. Making sense of assessment feedback in higher education. *Review of Educational Research* 2013;83(1):70–120.
18. Hendry GD, Oliver GR. Seeing is Believing: The Benefits of Peer Observation. *J University Teaching Learning Practice*, 2012;9(1). Article 7;2012.
19. Cabi E. The impact of the flipped classroom model on students academic achievement. *Int Rev Res Open Distributed Learning* 2018;19(3):202-221.
20. Kulasegaram K, Rangachari K. Beyond formative assessment to enrich student learning. *Adv Physiol Educ* 2018;42:5-14.
21. Hendrickson KA. Assessment in Finland: A scholarly reflection on one country use of formative, summative and evaluative practices. *Mid- Western Educational Researcher* 2012;25(1/2):33-43.
22. Braun H. Performance assessment and standardization in higher education: A problematic conjunction. *Br J Educ Psychol* 2019; 89(3):429-40.
23. Munif LA, Fauziati E, Marmanto S. Teachers beliefs and practices on the implementation of performance assessment in higher education. *Int J Res Innovation Social Sci* 2019;III (V): 172-8.
24. Hyytinen H, Toom A. Developing performance assessment task in the Finnish higher education context: Conceptual and empirical insights. *Br J Educ Psychol* 2019;89(3):551-63.
25. Frolova VB, Kalugina OA, Artamonova LS, Boykov AI. Studying the practice of performance assessment and rating system implementation in higher institutions. *IEJME* 2016;11(5):1185-93.
26. Matsushita K, Ono K, Saito Y. Combining course and program-level outcomes assessment through embedded performance assessment at key courses: A proposal based on the experience from Japanese dental education program. *Tuning J Higher Educ* 2018; 6(1):111-42.

27. Saude SIG, Lopes SCS, Borralho CML, Feria ILR. The performance evaluation of polytechnic higher education institutions: Tension and reconfigurations resulting from the adoption of specific metrics. *Revista de la Asociacion de Sociologia de la Educacion (RASE)* 2017;10(2):179-91.
28. Svihla V, Kubik T, Stevens T. Performance assessment practice as professional learning. *Interdisciplinary J Problem Based Learning* 2019;13(2).
29. Amin Z, Khoo HE. Overview of Assessment and valuation. In: *Basics in Medical Education*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore. PP: 251-260, 2003..
30. Friedman BD, Davis MH, Harden RM, Howie PW, Ker J, Pippard MJ. Portfolio as a method of student assessment, AMEE, Education Guide 24, Association of Medical Education in Europe, Dundee, UK, 2001.
31. ACGME Outcome Project. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialist (2001). Toolbox of assessment methods, version1.1.
32. Arter J, McTighe J. *Scoring Rubric in the Classroom*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Crown Press, 2001.
33. Takian A. Assessing communication, technical, and affective responses: Can they relate like a professional? In: A. Tekian , CH McGuire , WC McGaghie (eds.), *Innovative stimulations for assessing clinical competence*. Chicago: Department of Medical Education, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1999, pp:105-112.
34. Reznick RK, Blackmore D, Dauphinée WD, Rothman AI, Smee S. Large scale high stakes testing with an OSCE: Report from the Medical Council of Canada. *Academic Medicine* 1996;71: s110-s112.
35. Whelan GP. Educational commission for foreign medical graduates: Clinical skills assessment prototype. *Medical Teacher* 1999;21:156-160.
36. Clyman SG, Melnick DE, Clauser BE. Computer based case simulations from medicine: Assessing skills in patient's management. In: A. Tekian , CH McGuire , WC McGaghie (eds.), *Innovative stimulations for assessing clinical competence*. Chicago: Department of Medical Education, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1999, pp: 29-41.

37. Kneebone R. Simulation in surgical training: Educational issues and practical implications. *Medical Education* 2003;37:267-277.
38. Norcini JJ. Measurement issues in the use of simulation for testing professionals: Test development, test scoring, standard setting. In: A. Tekian , CH McGuire , WC McGaghie (eds.), *Innovative stimulations for assessing clinical competence*. Chicago: Department of Medical Education, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1999.
39. Norcini JJ, Boulet JR. Methodological issues in the use of standardized patients for assessment. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 2003;15; 293- 297.
40. McKinley DW, Boulet JR. Detecting score drift in a high stakes performance based assessment. *Advances Health Sciences Education*.2004; 9:29- 38.
41. Green BF. Adaptive testing by computer. In: RB Ekstrom (ed.), *Principles of modern psychological measurement*, San Francisco, CA: Jossey- Bass, 1983, pp, 5-12.
42. Hambleton RK, Swaminathan H. *Item response theory: Principles and applications*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1985.
43. Dillon GF, Clyman SG, Clauser BE, Margolis MJ. The introduction of computer based case simulation into the United States Medical Licensing Examination. *Academic Medicine* 2002;77:s94-s96.
44. Ladyshevsky R, Baker R, Jones M, Nelson L. Evaluating clinical performance in physical therapy with simulated patients. *J Phys Ther Edu* 2000;14:31-37.
45. McGuire CH, Babbott D. Simulation technique in the measurement of problem solving skills. *J Edu Measurement* 1967;4:1-10.
46. Vu NV, Barrows HS. Use of standardized patients in clinical assessments: recent developments and measurement findings. *Edu Researcher* 1994; 33:23-30.
47. Kolb SE, Shugart EB. Evaluation: is simulation the answer? *J Nurs Edu* 1984;23:84-86.
48. Barrows H. Simulated (standardised) patients and other human simulations. Presented at: Health Sciences consortium: Chapel Hill, NC, 1987.
49. Miller GE. The assessment of clinical skills/competence /performance. *Acad Med* 1990;65: s63-s67.

50. Norcini JJ. The death of the long case? *BMJ* 2002;324: 408-409.
51. Norcini JJ. Setting standard on educational test. *Med Edu* 2003;37:464-469.
52. Wass V, Shatzer J, Jones R. Assessment of clinical competence. *Lancet* 2001;357:945-949.
53. Hijazi Z, Premadasa IG, Moussa MAA. Performance of students in the final examination in paediatrics: importance of short cases. *Arch Dis Childhood* 2002;86:57-58.
54. Harden RM. What is an OSCE? *Medical Teacher* 1988;10: 19-20.
55. Harden RM, Gleeson FA. Assessment of clinical competence using OSCE. *Medical Education* 1979;13: 41-54.
56. Colliver JA, Willis MS, Robbs RS, Cohen DS, Swartz MH. Assessment of empathy in a standardised patient's examination. *Teaching Learning Med* 1998;10: 8-11.
57. Smee S . Skill based assessment. *BMJ* 2003;326: 703-706.
58. Remmen R, Remmen A, Scherpbier C, Van Der Vleuten J, Denekens A, Derese I, et al. Effectiveness of basic clinical skills training programmes: a cross sectional comparison of four medical schools. *Med Edu* 2001;35:121-128.
59. Stillman PL, Swanson DB. Ensuring the clinical competence of medical school graduates through standardized patients. *Arch Intern Med* 1987;147:1049-1052.
60. Joorabachi B, Devries C. Evaluation of clinical competence: the gap between expectation and performance. *Paediatrics*, 1996;97:179-184.
61. Epstein RM, Hundert EM. Defining and assessing professional competence. *JAMA* 2002;287:226–235.
62. NATABOC frequency asked questions: exam development questions. <http://www.nataboc.org/atc/links/faq/> 2018.
63. Van der Vleuten CPM. Validity of final examination undergraduate medical training. *BMJ* 2000;321:1217-1219.
64. Newble DI, Swanson DB. Psychometric characteristics of the objective structured clinical examination. *Med Edu* 1988;22: 325-334.
65. Guilbert JJ. Educational? Handbook for Health Personal. 6th ed. Geneva: WHO, 1987.
66. Feletti HI, Smith EKM . Modified essay questions: are they worth the effort? *Med Education* 1986;20:126-132.

67. Simon B. The Effect of Formative Assessment on Student Motivation and Self-Regulation. (Thesis, Concordia University, St. Paul).
68. Howley LD. Performance assessment in medical education: where we have been, and where we are going. *Eval Health Prof* 2004;27:285-303.
69. Hudson JN, Tonkin AL. Evaluating the impact of moving from discipline-based to integrated assessment. *Med Edu* 2004;38:832-843.
70. Nayar U, Malik SL, Bijlani RL. Objective structured practical examination: a new concept in assessment of laboratory exercises in preclinical sciences. *Med Edu* 1986;20:204-209.
71. Cohen R, Reznik RK, Taylor BR, Provan J, Rothman A. Reliability and validity of the objective structured clinical examination in assessing surgical residents. *Am J Surg* 1990;160:302-305.
72. Norcini JJ, Blank LL, Arnold GK, Kimball HR. The mini-CEX: a preliminary investigation. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:795-799.
73. Norcini JJ, Blank LL, Duffy FD, Fortna GS. The mini-CEX: A method for assessing clinical skills. *Ann Intern Me* 2003;138:476-481.
74. Norcini JJ, Blank LL, Arnold GK, Kimball HR. Examiner differences in the mini-CEX. *Adv Health Sci Edu*, 1997;2:27-33.
75. Hauer KE. Enhancing feedback to students using the mini-CEX. *Acad Med* 2000;75:524.
76. Margolis MJ, Clauser BE, Cuddy MM, Ciccone A, Mee J, Harik P, et al. Use of the Mini- Clinical Evaluation exercise to rate examinee performance on a multiple station clinical skills examination: a validity study. *Acad Med* 2006;81:s56-s60.
77. Holmboe ES, Huot S, Chung J, Norcini J, Hawkins RE. Construct validity of the miniclinical evaluation exercise. *Acad Med* 2003;78:826-830.
78. Kogan JR, Bellini LM, Shea JA. Implementation of the mimi-CEX to evaluate medical student's clinical skills. *Acad Med* 2002;77: 1156-1157.
79. Amato HK, Hawkins CV, Cole SL. Practical Exam Preparation Guide of Clinical Skills for Athletic Training. Thorofare, NJ: Slack, 2002.

80. Kim S, Willett LR, Noveck H, Patel MS, Walker JA, Terregino CA. Implementation of a Mini-CEX requirement across all third year clerkship. *Teach Learn Med* 2016;28(4):423-31.
81. Berendonk C, Rogausch A, Gemperli A, Himmel W. Variability and dimensionality of students' and supervisors' mini-CEX scores in undergraduate medical clerkships - a multilevel factor analysis. *BMC Med Educ* 2018;18(1):100.
82. Lorwald AC, Lahner FM, Greif R, Berndonk C, Norcini J, Huwendiek S. Factors influencing the educational impact of Mini-CEX and DOPS: A qualitative synthesis. *Med Teach* 2019 ;40(4):414-20.
83. Lorwald AC, Lahner FM, Mooser B, Perring M, Eidmer MK, Grief R, et al. Influences medical training trainees learning: a grounded theory study. *Med Teach* 2019;40:448-56.
84. Lorwald AC, Lahner FM, Nouns ZM, Berendonk C, Norcini J, Greif R, et al. The educational impact of Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX) and Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS) and its association with implementation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS One* 2018;13(6):e0198009.
85. Kukreja S, Singh T. Influence of Feedback on Learning. *Indian Paediatr* 2019; 56(15):733-734.
86. Winckel CP, Reznick RK , Cohen R , Taylor B. Reliability and construct validity of a structured technical skill assessment form. *Am J Surg* 1994;167:423-427.
87. Noel G, Herbers JE, Caplow MP, Cooper GS, Pangaro LN, Harvey J. How well do internal medicine faculty members evaluate the clinical skills of resident? *Ann Intern Med* 1992;117:757-765.
88. Turnbull J, MacFadyen J, Van Barneveld C, Norman G. Clinical work sampling: a new approach to the problem of intraining evaluation. *J Gen Intern Med* 2000;15:556-561.
89. Blane CE, Calhoun JG. Objectively evaluating student case presentations . *Invest Radiol* 1985;20:121-123.
90. Van der Vleuten C, Swanson DB. Assessment of clinical skills with standardized patients: state of the art. *Teaching Learning Med* 190;2:58-76.

91. Stillman P, Swanson D, Regan MB, Philbin MM, Nelson V, Ebert T, et al. Assessment of clinical skills of resident utilizing standardized patients. *Ann Intern Med* 1991;114:393-401.
92. Epstein RM, Hundert EM. Defining and assessing professional competence. *JAMA*. 2002;287:226-235.
93. Newble D. Assessment. In: Jolly B, Rees L. (eds.) *Medical Education in the Millennium*. Oxford University Press, UK. 1998, Pp: 131-142.,
94. Ramsey PG, Wenrich MD, Carline JD, Thomas S. Use of peer ratings to Evaluate physician performance. *JAMA* 1993;269:1655-1660.
95. Columbia Assessment Services. *Facilitator Training Manual for the Examiner Training Program*. Omaha NE, National Athletic Trainers Association Board of Certification, Inc, 2000.
96. Holmbe ES, Hawkins RE, Huot SJ. Effects of training in direct observation of medical resident's clinical competence: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140:874-881.
97. Nitko AJ. *Educational Assessment of Students*, 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/ Prentice-Hall Pearson Education, 2004.
98. Norgaard K, Ringsted C, Dolmans D. Validation of a checklist to assess wards round performance in internal medicine. *Med Edu* 2004;38:700-707.
99. Darzi A, Mackay S. Assessment of surgical competence. *Quality in Health Care* 2001;10:64-69.
100. Datta V, Bann S, Aggarwal R, Mandalia M, Hance J, Darzi A. Technical skill examination for general surgical trainees. *Br J Surg* 2006;93: 1139-1146.
101. Sidhu R, Grober ED, Musselman LJ, Reznick RK. Assessing competency in surgery. Where to begin? *Surgery* 2004;135: 6-20.
102. Mandel L, Lentz G, Goff B. Teaching and evaluating surgical skills. *Obstetrics Gynaecology* 2000;95: 783-785.
103. Wilkinson J, Benjamin A, Wade W. Assessing the performance of doctors in training. *BMJ* 2003;327: S91-S92.
104. Davies H, Archer J, Heard S. Assessment tools for Foundation Programmes- a practical guide. *BMJ Career Focus* 2005;330:195-196.
105. Chisholm C, Whenmouth LF, Daly EA, Cordell WH, Giles BK, Brizendine EJ. An evaluation of emergency medicine resident

- interaction time with faculty in different teaching venues. *Acad Emergency Med* 2004;11:149-155.
- 106.Griffiths CEM. Competency assessment of dermatology trainees in the UK. *Clin Exper Dermatol* 2004;29: 571-575.
- 107.McKinely R, Fraser RC, van der Vleuten C, Hastings AM. Formative assessment of the consultation performance of medical students in the setting of general practice using a modified version of the Leicester Assessment Package. *Med Edu* 2000;34: 573-579.
- 108.Ram P, Grol R, Rethans JJ, Schouten B, van der Vleuten C, Kester A. Assessment of general practitioners by video observation of communicative and medical performance in daily practice: issues of validity, reliability, and feasibility. *Med Edu* 1999;33:447-454.
109. Stratford PW, Thomson, MA, Sanford J. Effect of station examination item sampling on generalizability of student performance. *Phys Ther* 1990;70: 45-50.
- 110.McDowell BJ, Nardini DL, Negley SA, White JE. Evaluating clinical performance using simulated patients. *J Nurs Edu* 1984;23: 37-39.
- 111.Norman GR, , Davis D, Painvin A, Lindsay E, Rath D, Ragbeer M. Comprehensive assessment of clinical competence of family/general physicians using multiple measures *Proc Research Med Edu conference*, 1989;7:75-79.
- 112.Turnball J, MacFadyen J, Van Barneveld C, Norman G. Clinical work sampling a new approach to the problem in-training evaluation. *J Gen Intern Med* 2000;15:556-561.
- 113.Massagli TL, Carline JD. Reliability of 360-degree evaluation to assess resident competence. *Am J Phys Rehab* 2007;86:845-852.
- 114.Blake K . The daily grind- use of log books and portfolios for documenting undergraduates activities. *Med Edu* 2001;35:1097-1098.
- 115.Lim J, Chan NF, Cheong PY. Experience with portfolio- based learning in family medicine for master of medicine degree. *Sing Med J* 1998;39:543-546.
116. Challis M. AMEE medical education guide no. 11 (revised): Portfolio- based learning and assessment in medical education. *Med Teach* 1999;21:370-386.